

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
Subject: Decision 4 - Register of Comments on GH 2  
Date: 11 March 2024 11:23:08

East Anglia One North IP 2023/029  
East Anglia Two IP 2023/029

EASN & EALDCO Examinations - Decision 4 Comments on DSI 2  
Dear Examining Authority,

We would like to respond and address with Scottish Power Renewable and Infrastructure as some Specific Planning Code and Part of December 2020 Outcomes being Design and Construction in which SPP argued that additional projects, which proposed to connect to the Grid at EASN, should not be included in their Cumulative Impact Assessment.

All Scottish & UK main SPP applications submitted that SPP would be unable to assess cumulative impact issues by carrying out necessary impact assessments as a "speculative call" to our respective SPP informed comment that they could only assess on a worst case scenario basis. Given SPP are aware of other projects, some of which are more registered on the FONS website, we hope the Examining Authorities will update their plans to take all additional projects into account and:

1. Ask instead SPP to comment to a "Worst Case Scenario" Cumulative Impact Assessment.
2. Ask for a genuine examination of this Cumulative Impact Assessment, looking at requirements for additional submissions and infrastructure for other projects, landfill sites and need to land multiple cables along the route and off route sites, plus the impacts and of more cable corridors across an ACPN (how many times will a VLN cable corridor either walk be made)?

Appendix One of SPP's Response to DSI2 Action Points, which looks at projects with actual or potential Grid Connections of Future shows a possible 3 ADDITIONAL 3 CABLE TRENCHES might be required for other projects, nearby areas.

It must be emphasized that all the primary stakeholders in this Examination including the B (the Transport, Utility, MFL, East Suffolk Council, Suffolk County Council, Ashleigh Time Council, Natural England, NASSC, SPP and SPS) believe that the effects of these projects and associated impacts should be fully considered within this Examination.

We are concerned that SPP and Natural Grid continue to duck and dive the issues and this is undermining effective scrutiny of the impacts and wider impacts of these proposals when other projects are brought into the Public. Surely it is for the examiners to ask this and not for SPP to tell them it is not necessary? Is this requirement to not use within SPP Energy Documentation.

Kind regards  
Nicholas Thorp (P) and on behalf of the Thorp Family Branch View Holiday Park

Branch View Holiday Park - A hidden gem on the Suffolk Coast  
Suffolk Coastline - Lutton - Suffolk - IP14 4TU  
Tel: 01778 80024 Fax: 01778 82001

[REDACTED]  
Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail